COMMENTARY ON PRACTICE NOTE GUIDELINES FOR LANDSLIDE RISK **MANAGEMENT 2007**

C8.2 TOLERABLE RISK CRITERIA

a) Loss of Life criteria

As discussed in Section C3.5, the regulator is the appropriate authority to set standards for tolerable risk which may relate not only to perceived safety in relation to other risks, but also to government policy. Implementation of a tolerable risk level has implications to the community at large, both in terms of relative risks or safety, but also in terms of economic impact.

Table C9: Individual Loss of Life Risk Criteria. (Leroi et al., 2005)

Organisation	Industry	Description	Risk/annum	Reference
Health and Safety	Land use planning	Broadly acceptable	10 ⁻⁶ /annum, public and workers	HSE (2001)
Executive, United	around industries	risk.	10 ⁻⁴ /annum public ⁽¹⁾	
Kingdom		Tolerable limit	10 ⁻³ /annum workers	
Netherlands Ministry of	Land use planning	Tolerable limit (2)	10 ⁻⁵ /annum, existing installation	Netherlands Ministry of
Housing	for industries		10 ⁻⁶ /annum, proposed installation	housing (1989), Ale
				(2001), Vrijling et al.
				(1998)
Department of Urban	Land use planning	"acceptable"	5x10 ⁻⁷ /annum hospitals, schools, childcare	
Affairs and Planning,	for hazardous	(tolerable) limits (2)	facilities, old age housing	
NSW, Australia	industries		10 ⁻⁶ /annum residential, hotels, motels	
			5x10 ⁻⁶ /annum commercial developments	
			10 ⁻⁵ /annum sporting complexes	
Australian National	Dams	Tolerable limit	10 ⁻⁴ /annum existing dam, public most at	ANCOLD (2003)
Committee on Large Dams			risk subject to ALARP	
			10 ⁻⁵ /annum new dam or major	
			augmentation, public most at risk, subject to	
			ALARP.	
Australian Geomechanics	Landslides (from	Suggested	10 ⁻⁴ /annum public most at risk, existing	AGS (2000)
Society guidelines for	engineered and	tolerable limit	slope	
landslide risk management	natural slopes)		10 ⁻⁵ /annum, public most at risk, new slope	
Hong Kong Special	Landslides from	Tolerable limit	10 ⁻⁴ /annum public most at risk, existing	Ho et al. (2000), ERM
Administrative Region	natural slopes		slope.	(1998), Reeves et al.
Government			10 ⁻⁵ /annum public most at risk, new slope	(1999)
Iceland ministry for the	Avalanches and	"acceptable"	3x10 ⁻⁵ /annum residential, schools, day care	Iceland Ministry for the
environment hazard zoning	landslides	(tolerable) limit	centres, hospitals, community centres.	environment (2000),
			10 ⁻⁴ /annum commercial buildings	Arnalds
			5x10 ⁻⁵ recreational homes ⁽³⁾	et al. (2002)
Roads and Traffic	Highway	Implied tolerable	10 ⁻³ /annum ⁽⁴⁾	Stewart et al. (2002), RTA
Authority, NSW Australia	landslide risk	risk		(2001)

Notes:

- But for new developments HSE (2004) "advises against giving planning permission where individual risks are $> 10^{-5}$ /annum". (1)
- Based on a temporal spatial probability of 1.0. (2)
- Assumes temporal spatial probability of 0.75 for residential, 0.4 commercial, 0.05 recreational. (3)
- Best estimate of societal risk for one person killed, top risk ranking. If slope ranks in this range action is taken to reduce risks within a short period. For the second ranking, societal risk is 10^{-4} /annum, and slope is put on priority remediation list.

Table C9 summarises published individual loss of life risk criteria. An overview of the issues in relation to Loss of Life criteria are discussed in Leroi et al. (2005).

It is important to distinguish between "acceptable risks" and "tolerable risks".

Tolerable Risks are risks within a range that society can live with so as to secure certain benefits. It is a range of risk regarded as non-negligible and needing to be kept under review and reduced further if possible.

Acceptable Risks are risks which everyone affected is prepared to accept. Action to further reduce such risk is usually not required unless reasonably practicable measures are available at low cost in terms of money, time and effort.

Most organisations listed in Table C9 have adopted Tolerable Risk as the measure to gauge risk. This is because there is a trade-off between the benefits and cost of risk mitigation, and the costs to achieve acceptable risk levels are often high. The Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD) has adopted tolerable risk criteria for assessing risks posed by dams. This decision was reached after extensive consultation locally and internationally and after seeking legal opinion.